

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/621,223

Applicant(s)

AYOUB, ABBY

Examiner

Jordan M. Schwartz

Art Unit

2873

All Participants:(1) Jordan M. Schwartz.(2) Eric L. Sophir.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 May 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

8 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:

Cited article "NACL Coating Process Masks Thickness" and Buazza 2003/0075816**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet**Part III.**☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to cancel claim 8 as not being further limiting since independent claim 1 claims that the color coating is affixed to at least a portion of only the edge of the lens and since it is only to the edge then it inherently is not affixed to the face of the lens. With reference to claim 9, the examiner stated that claims 9-11 and 15 would be rejected under 35 USC 103 using the combined references above since applicant had not been claiming that the lens was being placed under the ultraviolet heat source after the application of the colored coating. The change to claim 9, as set forth in the examiner's amendment was discussed and agreed upon to overcome these references and place the application in condition for allowance.